

# Assessing the vaccine effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccines: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and observational studies

André Martins<sup>1</sup>, Marlene Santos<sup>1,2\*</sup>, João Martins<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro de Investigação em Saúde e Ambiente, Escola Superior de Saúde, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 4200-072, Porto, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> Molecular Oncology & Viral Pathology, IPOPorto Research Center (CI-IPOP), Portuguese Institute of Oncology, Porto, Portugal

<sup>3</sup> CEAUL – Centro de Estatística e Aplicações, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

\*mes@ess.ipp.pt

**Introduction:** Influenza is a respiratory disease caused by the highly transmissible influenza virus in humans [1]. Vaccination is a crucial strategy for preventing influenza and its associated complications [2,3]. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive assessment of the vaccine effectiveness (VE) of seasonal influenza vaccines (SIVs) in individuals aged 15 to 64 years through a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and observational studies (TNDs). **Methods:** A systematic review with meta-analysis was performed to identify all relevant studies that examined the effectiveness of SIVs. The primary outcome measure of interest was the VE against laboratory-confirmed influenza, regardless of the viral strain. To evaluate the quality of the included studies, the researchers utilized the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for RCTs and the ROBINS-I tool for TNDs, which assessed the methodological quality and potential biases in study design, conduct, and analysis. **Results:** The search process yielded a total of 2993 records, and after a thorough evaluation, 125 studies from 73 papers met the inclusion criteria and were included in the meta-analysis. Among the included studies, 9 were RCTs, which provide a higher level of evidence, and 116 were TNDs, which offer valuable real-world data. The pooled VE estimate for RCTs was determined to be 48% with a 95% confidence interval (CI) ranging from 42% to 54%. This finding indicates that, on average, SIVs reduced the risk of laboratory-confirmed influenza by 48% among individuals aged 15 to 64 years in the RCTs. For the TNDs, the adjusted VE estimate was found to be 39.9% with a 95% CI of 31% to 48%. Adjusted VE accounts for potential confounding factors that may influence the vaccine's effectiveness, such as age, comorbidities, and other variables. Additionally, the non-adjusted VE estimate for TNDs was 41% with a 95% CI of 37% to 45%. **Conclusion:** The key factor influencing VE is the match between the influenza strains included in the vaccine and the strains currently circulating in the population. A high level of strain match enhances the effectiveness of SIVs in preventing laboratory-confirmed influenza cases. These findings highlight the importance of ongoing surveillance and timely updates of the vaccine composition to ensure optimal protection against the circulating influenza strains.

**Keywords:** Influenza; observational studies; randomized controlled trials; seasonal influenza vaccines; vaccine effectiveness;

## References

[1] Bocktor SW, Hafner JW. Influenza. *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023.

[2] Buchy P, Badur S. Who and when to vaccinate against influenza. *International journal of infectious diseases: IJID: official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases*. 2020; 93:375-387.

[3] McCauley J, Barr IG, Nolan T, et al. The importance of influenza vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Influenza and other respiratory viruses*. 2022;16(1):3-6.